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Introduction

• International trade negotiations among the most complex negotiations;

• Presentation to examine negotiations basic principles & techniques, stages of a “successful” negotiation;

• Overview on literature regarding the design & features PTA;

• Modalities of Customs Union negotiations
Part I

Trade Negotiation skills: basics & tools
BASIC FACTS REGARDING A NEGOTIATION
Why negotiating?

- Negotiation is a need and a major tool for conflict resolution in an increasingly complex world;
- Negotiation is a skill acquired through practice & by learning techniques:
  - "you don't get what you deserve, you get what you negotiate";
- Negotiation is crucial in multilateral trade;
- Has great impact on wealth of the country and its population;
The theoretical background
The importance of negotiation is not questionable, but can a pattern be established for a good negotiation, with tips and ideal sequencing?
The BATNA

In negotiation theory, the "best alternative to a negotiated Agreement" (BATNA) is the most advantageous alternative course of action a negotiator can take if negotiations fail & an agreement cannot be reached!
The BATNA Cont'd

Was developed by negotiation researchers Roger Fisher & William Ury of the Harvard Program on Negotiation:
(Best-selling book: *Getting to YES: Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In*).

The authors gave 3 suggestions of how to accomplish this:

1- Inventing a list of actions one might take if no agreement is reached;

2- Converting some of the more promising ideas and transforming them into tangible and partial alternatives;

3- Selecting the alternative that sounds best.
The BATNA Cont'd

- Make a decision when you know what alternatives are;
- should not accept a worse resolution than its BATNA;
- BATNA is often seen by negotiators not as a safety net, but rather as a point of leverage in negotiations: « The ability to walk away from a deal is the ultimate source of bargaining power »!
- Options need to be real and actionable to be of value;
The BATNA...Cont'd

► Perception by others of your outside options can be shaped (regional/bilateral/multilateral)

➢ Revealing your alternative options? Depends on impact to be expected!
The BATNA Cont'd..

But

**BATNA is not** the so called **bottom line** that negotiators perceive as the means to guard themselves against reaching agreements where they give **too** much or receive too little.
The BATNA Cont'd..

• A bottom line or walk away signifies the worst possible outcome that a negotiator might accept;

• It is a means to defend oneself against the pressure & temptation that is often exerted on a negotiator to conclude an agreement that is self defeating;

• A BATNA is not interested in the objectives of a negotiation, but to determine the course of action if an agreement is not reached within a certain time frame;

• It prohibits a negotiator from accepting an unfavorable agreement or one that is not in their best interests because it provides a better option outside the negotiation.
The BATNA Cont'd..

• "Don’t put all your eggs in one basket."!

• old proverb illustrates that if you bring only a single proposal to the table, you may likely end up with a BAD deal, or no deal at all!

• You need to have an alternative plan waiting in the wings!!
Examples of a “may be” BATNA in the Iranian Nuclear Agreement

• M.J.Zarif: called on EU to defy US sanctions if the US administration torpedoes the nuclear agreement with Iran.

• He warned that "if Europe followed Washington’s lead, the deal would collapse and Iran would emerge with more advanced nuclear technology than before the agreement was reached in Vienna in 2015".

• "If we decide to walk away from the deal we would be walking away with better technology. It will always be peaceful, because membership of the NPT is not dependent on this deal. But we will not observe the limitations that were agreed on as part of the bargain in this deal.”
Examples of a “may be” BATNA in the Iranian Nuclear Agreement

• M.J.Zarif: “walking away” from the deal was just one option under consideration in Tehran".....

• “There are other options & those options will depend on how the rest of the international community deal with the US,” he said. “If Europe, Japan, Russia & China decided to go along with the US, then I think that will be the end of the deal.”

• (Source: The Guardian 29/9/2017)
Examples of a “may be” BATNA in the Iranian Nuclear Agreement

• "No one is able to predict whether that will lead to a re-imposition of US sanctions, the collapse of the agreement & the rapid scaling-up of Iran’s nuclear programme;

• It could result in a compromise that leaves the deal alive but opens the way for a more combative policy towards Tehran on other fronts......

• The Iran Nuclear Agreement Review Act (INARA) of 2015 was designed for a situation in which Iran was breaking that international agreement: The actual situation is one in which Iran is agreed by all signatories, including the US, to be abiding by its obligations, but the US president appears determined to kill off the deal regardless".

(The Guardian 11/10/ 2017)
Examples of a “may be” BATNA in the Iranian Nuclear Agreement

• "If the US walked out on the deal, it is unclear whether EU could keep faith with it, even if it wanted to. 1996 Regulations, aimed at preventing European companies from complying with US sanctions that run counter to EU foreign policy, have never been properly tested. Faced with a choice between doing business in Iran and in the US, the big European firms are unlikely to hesitate. It would be hard for the EU to punish them….

• “The worst scenario we’ll get into is one where there is not enough pressure to force Iran to make concessions, but enough pressure to make them say this agreement isn’t working for us and reconsider the nuclear restrictions that are in place,” said former principal deputy sanctions coordinator at the state department".

(The Guardian 11/10/ 2017)
Examples of a “may be” BATNA in the Iranian Nuclear Agreement

- Trump sets new conditions for U.S. to stay in Iran nuclear deal, tossing issue to Congress.
- The administration asked Congress to attach new caveats that could either alter the pact or lead to its rupture. ....Trump also threatened to unilaterally withdraw from the accord if his concerns are not met.
- His decision to withdraw presidential “certification” of the deal throws its future into doubt by tying continued U.S. participation to new requirements for Iran. But the approach also falls short of Trump’s campaign vow to terminate the deal altogether
- Iran responded with a threat, in a statement to walk away if Iranian “rights and interests in the deal are not respected.”
- If the amendment is approved by Congress & Iran fails to meet the new requirements, the US could impose new sanctions that would effectively break the deal. Or, if Congress is unwilling, Trump said he could walk away on his own (Washington Post 13/10/ 2017).
Examples of a “may be” BATNA in the Iranian Nuclear Agreement

• U.S. officials acknowledge that Iran is meeting its technical obligations but accuse the Islamic republic of using the deal as a shield for an expansion of “destabilizing” activities such as the funding and arming of terrorist groups.
• (Washington Post 13/10/ 2017).
Integrative and distributive negotiations
Integrative strategy:

• Collaborative mode adapted to long-run negotiations with several rounds;

• Seeking to « create value »;

• Main criteria is having several issues negotiated that allow for trade-offs + creativity
Distributive strategy:

- Each negotiator battles for « biggest slice of the pie »

- Main objective is to estimate the minimum the other party is willing to accept and get as close as possible to it.
Basic principles of a complex negotiation
Strategy follows the structure

- Structure shapes way in which negotiations are going to be conducted

  ➤ Careful analysis is needed on:

  - Number of issues
  - Number of rounds
  - How are the rules established?
  - Pre-existing attitudes
  - Assessment of partners’ respective power
  - Link of multilateral negotiations with other forum.
Good strategies shape the structure:

« you can shape the game as well as play it »

► Rules are influenced by actions of the participants on:

- Setting the agenda
- Managing conflict (i.e. introduction of mediator)
- Staging the process
- Creating coalitions
- Leveraging linkages (linking or de-linking issues to create momentum)

► Sequence of moves « at the table » & « away from the table »
Simple & complex negotiation

- From one issue to many
- From one round of negotiations to many
- From fixed rules to negotiable ones
- Pre-existing attitudes
- From one party to many parties
- From one level to many interacting levels of negotiation
- From a self-contained negotiation to a densely linked web involving different but overlapping set of parties.

Doha round is a best example of complex negotiations!
The rules of the game

➢ What are the rules of the game & how can you shape them?

➢ Important to negotiate the initial rules of the game: process & rules always receive less attention

➢ Winner of negotiation is the one who can best shape negotiations: common mistake is to take the structure of negotiation as a given

"it is not the rules but the players who matter"

➢ “Think strategically but act opportunistically" : expect to be surprised and to have to modify your initial approach!
STEPS OF A NEGOTIATION
1. Organise to influence

Moves “out of the table” (preparation phase) as important as moves “at the table”

Preparation needs Commitment, Organization, Resources and Coherence (CORC)

► **Commitment**: identify qualified people and train them

► **Organization**: close attention to institutional framework

► **Resources**: allocation of adequate funds

► **Coherence**: strategy fits in broader development vision & needs internal support *(consultative process: involvement of all relevant stakeholders)*
2. Choosing the appropriate forum

Which forum is the most appropriate to bring forward interests and objectives?

- Multilateral (WTO), regional or bilateral forum: the choice also depends on the size and importance of your country.
- Which forum gives us the biggest relative weight?
- Certain issues are brought forward in a forum rather than another, depending on the subject.
- Eg. Comoros WTO accession (the issue of EPAs and Mayotte raised by EU in the negotiations).
3. Setting the agenda

Allocation of time between subjects and format of negotiation

- Influence what issues are up for negotiation by adding or removing some of them, and avoid 02 risks:
  1. agenda too narrow: difficult to create enough value
  2. agenda too large: dividing and breaking down the larger agenda into parallel negotiations & sub-groups

- Choosing the format:
  “Single undertaking" or "à la carte" negotiating issue by issue like in the GATT era!
4. Building coalition

1. Secure **support** as wide as possible;
2. Acquire **expertise** through knowledge-sharing;
3. Division of labour/Roles;
4. Increase **cost of reprisals** from others

- 1st step is **identification of influential** parties (opinion leaders), their interests & sources of power, analysis of existing coalitions (possible allies and potential blockers)

- Design your coalition to be **resistant** to pressure:
  - Not **too many** issues, interests or parties
  - Avoid **narrow coalition**
  - avoid **broad and vague** goals
  - Moving & **flexible coalition** to avoid **polarization**
4. Building coalition.. Cont'd

► Coalition-building: looking inside the other party

*Eg.* Paris Climate change Agreement: Some states inside the US announced to implement this agreement despite the decision to withdraw by President Trump at the federal level.

► Importance of coalition sustainability: time has to be devoted for that.
5. Play with the linkages

“Single undertaking” produces complex web of linkages: finding balance is tricky but vital

- Densely linked web of negotiations: risk of "toxic issue": one issue poisoning the rest
  Eg. The issue of Ag. Subsidies including cotton in the Doha context (SPFSP)

► Will the creation of reciprocal linkages give you access to important resources and/or improve your bargaining position?

► Sequencing of moves among the various negotiations is important
6. Play the frame game

Conflicts come often from substantive disagreements but sometimes also from misunderstandings on language

- Reframing to define the problematic issue, present it with a different angle, facilitating the « creation of value » and consensus-building (integrative strategy)

Reframing to claim value and win the battle of the public opinion

eg. Health debate on TRIPS ! (AIDS & access to medicines by affected population in DCs)
7. Sequencing to create momentum

What is sequencing? It is the order to approach the several stages of a negotiation

Staging the process:

1) **diagnostic phase** (exploring relative merits of negotiation and alternative courses of action, gather information)

2) **formula phase** (parties seek the basic formula for agreement: it is the core set of principles that will serve as an overarching framework for agreement)

3) **detailed bargaining phase** (attention shifts to bargaining over specific terms).
7. Sequencing to create momentum (2)

Factors influencing the sequencing:

- **Action-forcing events** (Schelling theory: tying one’s own hands) - Thomas C. Schelling, an economist and Nobel Prize laureate: Major work: The Strategy of Conflict (Book 1960)

- **eg. the fast Track procedure in the US obliging to settle FTAs and Doha Round negotiation before the end of the mandate**

- **First-mover advantage** when you propose a framework of organization of a coalition

- **Securing agreement through "incrementalism":** series of small & mutually verifiable steps, future gains contingent on meeting current obligations, & embedding current negotiations in a larger context...

- **Re-legitimization of mandate** (eg. EU in any negotiation)
Thomas Schelling: The Strategy of Conflict (1960)

- one side in a negotiation can strengthen its position by narrowing its options, using as an example: a driver in chicken game who rips the steering wheel from the steering column & brandishes it so his opponent can see that he no longer controls the car. He also argued that uncertain retaliation is more credible and more efficient than certain retaliation.

- “The Strategy of Conflict” introduced the concept of the focal point, often called the Schelling point, to describe a solution that people reach without benefit of communicating, relying instead on “each person’s expectation of what the other expects him to expect to be expected to do.”
Chicken "game" is a game in which two drivers drive toward each other on a collision course, with each seeking to induce the other to swerve.
8. Multi-channel influence

➢ **Direct** influence method such as negotiation and litigation: parallel processes

➢ **Public relations:**

  *eg. Brazil in cotton case, TRIPS (South Africa against pharmaceutical companies)*

➡ **Challenge:** avoiding ending up with channel conflict
9. Multi-level negotiations

➢ The "two level game": within and between the parties

Need to coordinate both levels

• Ambiguity in drafting agreement

• Understand how decision-making works within the other sides, as well as in their own side.

• Importance of sequencing: 1st securing an internal mandate, then engaging in early external discussions, then returning for internal consultations etc.

➢ Risk: your external positions or actions cause problems within your coalition and vice- versa
Value claiming

- **Anchoring**: initial positions tend to strongly influence perceptions of the zone of possible agreement

- **Patterns of concessions**: make substantial concessions early on and following up with progressively smaller ones

- **Threats and warnings**: used to shape other's perceptions of the consequences of no-agreement

- **Commitments**: self-imposed, constraints used to convince counterparts that one's hands are tied
Value claiming.. cont’d

- **Action-forcing events**: negotiators set deadlines and use meetings and other key events in order to move process forward

- **Never share your walk-away position?**

- **Never specify your trade-offs among issues?**

- **Be sure that information-sharing is reciprocal, rather than a one-way process!**
Value creation

Claiming trap: getting big slice of a small pie or reasonable slice of a much larger pie?

- **Identification** of complementary interests: able to make cross-issue trade & so, "enlarge the pie".
- **Value creation** by identifying shared or complementary interests that are the basis for mutually beneficial trades. *Framing & agenda setting* can be used to achieve this objective
- Need to both **create and claim value** in multi-issue negotiations.
Conclusion

► **Knowledge** of principles cannot guarantee successful outcome of negotiation: many variables beyond the reach of any negotiator;

► **Integrative strategy** seems more relevant for DCs who don’t have capacity to hold distributive strategy and exert pressure;

► **Coalition-building:** major tool for DCs

► **Preparatory work** even more crucial for DCs

► **Negotiating strategy** should always be part of broader development strategy!
As a good negotiator

“You have to have the ability to look at the big picture and set concrete goals. Then from those goals devise not only the strategy, but also the tactics for achieving the goals. It’s the rare ability to combine the big things with the small, to see the forest and the trees”

Avi Gil, Oslo Process negotiator
Part II - Mapping PTA design
What is the difference between FTA & PTA?

• Thin line between the two:
• The aim of PTA & FTA being similar, but:
• PTA is always a starting point and FTA is the final goal of participating countries in a trade block;
• Whereas PTA aims at reducing tariffs, FTA aims at elimination of tariffs altogether.
Proliferation of PTAs

• Since 1990 the nbr of PTAs has increased very rapidly;

• WTO identified a total of 690 RTAs negotiated between 1945 and 2009 -

• Our concern: PTAs negotiated under GATT Article XXIV, the GATT Enabling Clause, or GATS Article 5,
Why countries form PTAs?

• The *domino theory* (Baldwin 1993) using a political economy model that focuses on the cost - in terms of *trade diversion* - of being excluded from PTAs;

• Role of *economic size & similarity* among (Baier & Bergstrand 2004);

• *Lock-in domestic reforms*;

• *Strengthen their position in multilateral negotiations*;

• *Pursue import-substitution policies at the regional level*;

• *Address security concerns*;

• *As a reaction to other agreements*;

• *Role of domestic institutions & bureaucratic interests*;

• *Interest groups*;

• *International shocks*
Design of PTAs

Encompass:

▪ market access,
▪ services,
▪ investment,
▪ intellectual property rights,
▪ competition,
▪ public procurement,
▪ standards,
▪ trade remedies,
▪ non-trade issues,
▪ and dispute settlement;
Design of PTAs

Market access:
- Tariff schedules;
- degree of concessions;
- tariff peaks (remaining, decreasing, removed);
- Exemptions (no concessions);
- speed and depth: absolute & relative numbers of tariff lines with concessions (&the number of tariff rate quota lines with concessions);
- Harmonized System (HS) or a national system.(at which digit level concessions are listed)...;
- parties agree on a uniform (basket) approach or/ areas that have a specific treatment (e.g. Ag, fisheries, textiles, etc..);
- Positive/negative list approach or a combination of both;
- Stand-still clause (that parties cannot increase tariffs during negotiations);
Design of PTAs

**Services Chapter:**

- Provisions for specific services sectors ...aviation, financial services etc. *(compared with commitments under GATS: WTO list 11 main Sectors & more than 150 such sub-sectors, ranging from veterinary services to electronic mail - across all 4 modes of services supply)*;

- Specific modes of supply (e.g., movement of natural persons);

- Positive and negative list approaches to the liberalization of services *(negative list approach tend to be more far-reaching than those with a positive list approach)*;
Design of PTAs... Cont'd

**Services Chapt:**

- Whether it explicitly includes or excluded sectors/Sub-sectors;
- The presence or absence of **MFN** & national treatment;
- Movement of natural persons clauses;
- Whether or not the services chapter includes a continuous review provision.
Design of PTAs.. Cont'd

Investment Chapt:

08 considerations:

1. Sectoral coverage;
2. Scope of non-discrimination provisions;
3. Most-favored nation (MFN);
4. National treatment (NT);
5. Standards of treatment;
6. Transfer of payments;
7. Dispute Settlement Mechanism (DSM);
8. Temporary movement of business and natural persons
Design of PTAs: Cont'd

Investment Chapt:

1. **sectoral coverage**: most important indication in determining the scope of investment protection;

- Some PTAs include a vague statement on investment protection, rely on **bilateral investment** treaties previously signed by member countries, contain investment provisions only in the service sector (GATS type);

- Some PTAs have an **ad hoc** section on investment (NAFTA type);

2. The scope of non-discrimination provisions allows checking in which phase of the investment procedure **foreign investors** are protected.
Design of PTAs.. Cont'd

Investment Chapt:

3. **MFN & NT**: distinguish between negative list and positive list; negative list being a **stronger** form of **investment** protection than the positive one;

4. **MFN & NT**: are contingent standards based on the treatment granted to other groups of investors;

5. **Standards of treatment**: are based on customary international law;

6. **Transfers of payments**: whether there are **restrictions** in transferring profits from the host country to the home country.
Design of PTAs.. Cont'd

Investment Chapt:

7. DSM: assess the presence of a DS clause & distinguish between an investor-state DSM & a state-state DSM;

8. Movement of key personnel: (e.g. managers and chairmen of the board, and business) whether there are restrictions
Part III

Arab Customs Union (ACU) & Negotiating modalities
The ACU project started from the observed low level of intra-Arab trade, which is estimated around 12% of total Arab merchandise trade!
• One of the critical issues in the ACU negotiations is to ascertain the adequate level of ambition;

• This should be informed by possible economic gains & losses expected from the ACU;
The assumption of the negotiations is to aim at a highest level of ambition to narrow tariffs structure and level for a large share of products.
Customs Union (CU) Definition & Objective

- No customs duties are levied on goods travelling within the CU (single Customs territory);
- unlike a PTA, members of CU impose a common external tariff (CET) on all goods entering the union;
- One of the consequences of CU is to negotiate as a single entity in international trade deals such as WTO instead of individual member states negotiating for themselves.
Common external tariff (CET)

• The CET is a mild form of economic union but may lead to further types of economic integration;

  ▪ In addition to having the same customs duties, CU Members may have other common trade policies:
    ▪ same quotas;
    ▪ preferences or other NTBs...
The ACU negotiations is faced by a number of challenges. These include:

• Heterogeneity of countries involved;

• Several sub-regional and inter-sub-regional integration processes (AA, Agadir, UMA, GCC, CFTA, COMESA...);

• Asymmetric level of integration achieved in different Regional entities;

• Overlapping membership of several RTAs.
Key considerations/ Challenges.. Cont’d

- Large disparity in trade flows among different existing regional integration entities;
- Underlying economic constraints characterizing several LAS Members, including low income level and poverty (LDCs countries);
- Undiversified economies (dominance of Oil industry/export);
- High reliance on tariffs for fiscal revenue for many economies.
• The higher the level of ambition, the larger the trade and income gains;

• However, larger gains would also imply larger adjustment costs domestically;

• This is why the level of ambition is critical as a matter of policy in any trade negotiations.
• Trade liberalization could have adverse implications for:
  - local industries;
  - food security;
  - government revenue;
  - possible welfare losses arising from trade diversion,
Key considerations/ Challenges.. Cont’d..

- Need for balancing act to address **adjustment** challenges particularly for **weaker** & smaller members within the region;

- **Flexibilities** need to be carefully weighed against the expected gains from **ambitious** outcome;

- **Tariff revenues** are particularly important for countries that are less capable of introducing fiscal reforms (e.g., introduction of VAT) and remain dependent on **import** taxes.
Key considerations/ Challenges.. Cont’d..

WTO Compatibility:

- **WTO rules**, RTAs/ CU required to be compatible with GATT Article XXIV, which calls for "substantially all the trade" coverage;

- ‘substantially all the trade’ coverage is generally understood to mean tariff liberalization of **90%** of products and/or imports over **10 years**, although there is **no consensus** on the thresholds

- **Enabling Clause** designed specifically for **DCs** RTAs with less stringent rules;
These issues would require policy attention in determining possible approaches to ACU market access negotiations on trade in goods in respect of Common External Tariff (CET);

...to be effectively factored in the conduct & structure of ACU negotiations.
Key considerations/ Challenges.. Cont’d

- In considering the draft modalities, LAS Member States may take into account:

(i) the current status of liberalization in the existing regional entities;

(ii) commitments that some Member States have made to third parties under RTAs & BTAs (EU, US);

(iii) issues of WTO compatibility;

(iv) WTO accessions of Arab countries
Key considerations.. Cont’d

- Special attention should be paid to **funding** the negotiating process & **ACU**
- Consideration to establish an “*Integration Fund*” devoted to financing ACU;
- The fund could be lodged with the LAS;
- Financing sources could be Internal sources (statutory contributions from LAS Members & alternative sources of financing through fund raising directed to regional institutions IsDB Group & other bilateral donors ....
Challenges.. Cont’d

The major constraints that can hinder or slow the ACU negotiations:

• lack of effective coordination;
• lack of compatibility between national policies &
• regional approaches;
• overlapping memberships of countries to several RTAs
Guiding negotiating principles & Operational modalities

- The negotiations shall be LAS Member driven with support of the LAS Secretariat and relevant structures;
- Sub-regional arrangements to be considered as building blocks for the ACU (Agadir, GCC, UMA);
- Preservation of Acquis;
- Variable geometry (Pace and level of development);
- Flexibility and Special and Differential Treatment (LDCs);
- Transparency and disclosure of information;
- Substantial liberalization (level of the ambition);
Guiding negotiating principles Cont’d..

- Decisions shall be taken by consensus;
- Adoption of Best Practices
Tariff Liberalization Modalities: Options

- The Linear Approach;
- The Special Product Categorization;
- The progressive tariff liberalization;
- Two-phased Linear Cuts Process.
Tariff Liberalization Modalities.. Cont’d

• Several different categories of treatment are conceivable for different products:
  • (i) Complete exclusion (i.e., no liberalization);
  • (ii) Subject to tariff reduction only & not elimination (with or without transition period);
  • (iii) Subject to longer transition period;
  • (iv) Subject to shorter transition period;
  • (v) Immediate elimination (i.e., zero tariffs at the entry into force).
Tariff Liberalization Modalities.. Cont’d

• Categories (i) and (ii) refer to the so-called “sensitive products” which are basically excluded from the liberalization,

• (iii)-(v) are those subject to liberalization.
Tariff Liberalization Modalities.. Cont’d

• Accordingly, 02 stages of negotiations can be distinguished:

• 1st stage: would be to determine the overall level of ambition or exclusion (how many products and how much trade should be assigned to (i) and (ii) (e.g. 10 % of tariff lines & import value which would mean liberalization coverage of 90 %).
Tariff Liberalization Modalities.. Cont’d

- **2nd stage**: to determine different tariff phase-in arrangements (“staging”);

- and assign different tariff elimination approaches to different products on a line-by-line basis;

- At this stage, a template of tariff elimination schedules, or “modalities”, would be useful & be focused on the negotiation in the 2nd stage.
• Three criteria for selecting “sensitive products” are usually used:

1. minimization of possible import surge;
2. continued protection of highly protected products;
3. minimization of possible tariff revenue losses.
Thank you for your attention!