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Preamble. We recognise that **eradicating poverty in all its forms and dimensions**, including extreme poverty, is the **greatest** global challenge and an **indispensable** requirement for sustainable development.

The phrase ‘all its forms and dimensions’ is repeated 7 times in *Transforming Our World*. 
Goal 1: End poverty in all its forms everywhere.

Target 1.2: by 2030, reduce at least by half the proportion of men, women and children of all ages living in poverty in all its dimensions according to national definitions.
Multidimensional Poverty Peer Network (MPPN --- www.mppn.org -- 55 countries)

• 2013 launch meeting in Oxford with 16 countries present
• Side events at UN SC and UNGA each year
  (in 2017, 3 UNGA side events addressed multidimensional poverty)
• 2014: meeting in Germany
• 2015: meeting in Colombia
• 2016: meeting in Mexico
  • Launch of Magazine Dimensions
  • Launch of Policy Briefings
• 2017: meeting in China
• 2018: meeting in South Africa
19 Sept 2017: UNGA Shows MPI as governance tool

- H.E. Juan Orlando Hernández, President of Honduras
- H.E. Dasho Tshering Tobgay, Prime Minister of Bhutan
- H.E. Juan Manual Santos, President of Colombia
- H.E. Pena Nieto, President of Mexico
- H.E. Ana-Helena Chacón, Vice President of Costa Rica
- H.E. Isabel de Saint Malo de Alvarado, Vice President of Panama
- Mr. Achim Steiner, Administrator of UNDP
- Mr. Ángel Gurría, Secretary-General of OECD
- H.E. Ahmed Aboul Gheit, Secretary-General of League of Arab States

Plus 11 speakers from South Africa, Egypt, Philippines, Bangladesh, UN-ESCWA, Sida, UN-DESA, UNICEF, World Bank, and OPHI
Global MPI 2018-2027

a tool to track change?
Global MPI: all open source online

Coverage: Since 2010, the global MPI, H and A have been published for 261 datasets covering 120 countries.

Updates: Twice per year, as new datasets are available.

Disaggregation: in Winter 2017/18, 104 countries are disaggregated by 994 subnational regions. All countries disaggregated by age and rural-urban; some by ethnicity.

Indicator Composition is available for all countries/units.

Poverty Cutoffs: 33%, and also 20% and 50% published.

Harmonisation across time: 60 countries (growing)
Global MPI:

16 Updates for Summer 2018

Benin MICS 2014; Brazil PNAD 2015; Burundi, Cameroon MICS 2014; Colombia DHS 2015-16; India DHS 2015-16; Jamaica JSLC 2014; Libya PAPFAM 2014; Mali MICS 2015; Mexico ENIGH 2016; Nigeria MICS 2015-16; Paraguay MICS 2016; Thailand MICS 2015-16; Timor L’Este DHS 2016, Uganda DHS 2016, and China (CFPS 2016).

Global MPI revisions:

In 2018, the UNDP and OPHI will align the Global MPI with the SDGs and recompute every country.

The consultation closed on 20 April

http://ophi.org.uk/global-mpi-consultation/
Across 104 countries, 26.5% – 1.46 billion people – are poor.
MPI: Provides Headline, Disaggregation & Interlinkages to inform integrated action To Leave No One Behind

Decomposed by age, region, rural-urban

www.ophi.org.uk   www.mppn.org

104 countries SDG 1.2
Global MPI: age-decomposition 2017

“The decomposition of indicators by subgroups… As in the discussion of monetary poverty, important dimensions are gender and age.” (p 159)

2017 Global MPI is fully age-disaggregated for every country.

Nearly half of MPI poor people are children 0-17 years: 689 million children.

- Size of figures reflects Headcount
- Colour of figures reflects Intensity
- Global MPI 2017 figures found at www.ophi.org.uk
- World Bank 2016 decomposition found at www.unicef.org
Disaggregating by Ethnic Group - Kenya

Poorest ethnic group reduced MPI the fastest.
The Global MPI tracks progress related to many SDG indicators – and UN Agency programme areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Related SDG</th>
<th>SDG Indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>SDG 2 (Zero Hunger)</td>
<td>2.1.1, 2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Child Mortality</td>
<td>SDG 3 (Health &amp; Well-being)</td>
<td>3.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Years of Education</td>
<td>SDG 4 (Quality Education)</td>
<td>4.6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School Attendance</td>
<td>SDG 4 (Quality Education)</td>
<td>4.1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Standard</td>
<td>Cooking Fuel</td>
<td>SDG 7 (Affordable &amp; Clean Energy)</td>
<td>7.1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sanitation</td>
<td>SDG 6 (Clean Water &amp; Sanitation)</td>
<td>6.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drinking Water</td>
<td>SDG 6 (Clean Water &amp; Sanitation)</td>
<td>6.1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>SDG 7 (Affordable &amp; Clean Energy)</td>
<td>7.1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Floor</td>
<td>SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities &amp; Commut)</td>
<td>11.1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>SDG 1 (No Poverty)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MPI Show Interlinkages directly

Nahato and her family are being left behind in five core SDGs at the same time. MPI uses this info

An MPI focuses on people who are being left behind in multiple ways. They are the poorest.
Usually we measure who is being left behind in each SDG indicator, **one by one**.

**Leave No One Behind**

**But who is being left behind in several poverty indicators at the same time?**

They are the poorest

Interconnections are strongest

**ONLY** the MPI – 1.2.2 - measures this.
In 2010, when the global MPI was first launched, 32% of people were poor (in 2017, 26.5%) — but...

the countries are not the same
some indicators differ too
so that comparison is too rough.

Let’s look at strict comparisons.
Nepal Halved its MPI 2006-2014!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutoff (k = 33%)</th>
<th>MPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change 2006–2014</td>
<td>-0.19***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nepal Halved its MPI 2006-2014!

This is a significant achievement. The SDGs call all countries to halve their national MPI 2015-2030. Nepal shows this is possible!
Each indicator had statistically significant decreases 2006-2014.
Absolute Change in Censored Headcount Ratios
Fastest in Sanitation, Cooking Fuel, Assets, and Electricity

Source: Calculations based on data from MICS 2014 and DHS 2006

*** 1% significance level, two-tailed tests
Reduction by Province, 2011-2014
How did Provinces reduce MPI 2011-2014?

Source: Calculations based on data from MICS 2014 and DHS 2011

Legend:
- N: Nutrition
- CM: Child mortality
- YS: Years of schooling
- SA: School attendance
- CF: Cooking fuel
- S: Sanitation
- W: Water
- E: Electricity
- FR: Flooring and roofing
- A: Assets
Compare the Poorest Provinces 2014: Policy?

Province 2: Sanitation, Nutrition, Attendance, Yrs of Schooling
Province 6: Assets, Child Mortality, Nutrition, Water, Electricity
Monetary Poverty 2011, and MPI Headcount Ratios, 2011 and 2014: Province 2 different

Source: Calculations based on data from MICS 2014 and DHS 2011 and World Bank Staff Calculation using 2010/1 Nepal Living Standard Survey

- **Monetary poverty 2011**
- **MPI H 2011**
- **MPI H 2014**
Where did poverty go down? Leaving No One Behind

In Côte d'Ivoire, Nord Est, the poorest region, reduced MPI fastest. Faster than any African country except Rwanda. Number of poor went down also.

Multidimensional Poverty Index ($\text{MPI}_T$) at initial year

Size of bubble is proportional to the number of poor in first year of comparison.
Example: MPI reduction in Africa

• Coverage:
  - 35 Sub-Saharan African countries
  - 234 sub-national regions
  - covering 807 million people


• Alkire, Sabina, José Manuel Roche and Ana Vaz. “Changes over time in multidimensional poverty: Methodology and results for 34 countries,” *World Development*, 94: 232-249, 2017.”

Rwanda, Ghana, Liberia, Comoros, DRC and Tanzania had the fastest reduction of MPI in certain periods.
Annualized % Relative Change

South Africa had the fastest Relative MPI reduction followed by Congo, Ghana & Comoros.
The 19 red subnational regions reduced MPI faster than the fastest country – Rwanda.
Of these 24 comparisons, 4 show faster $1.90 than MPI reduction; 2 are the same, in 18 MPI is faster.
At-A-Glance


Can MPI be halved within 15 years?

Yes.

The only two African countries with 12 years of data – Gabon and Comoros – both more than halved their MPI incidence. Nepal also more than halved its global MPI 2006-2014.
Poverty Reduction 2018-2027

If we monitored:
- the reduction in the rate and number of MPI
- how poverty went down subnationally
- how poverty went down by indicator

Would this help us to accelerate progress in Goal 1: End poverty in all its forms everywhere?

http://ophi.org.uk/global-mpi-consultation/